

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,451	07/15/2005	Hubert Euvrard	MICROM19 2638		
Gary M Cohen	7590 09/05/2007		EXAMINER		
Strafford Building Number Three			PATEL, YOGESH P		
Suite 300 125 Strafford Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wayne, PA 19	087-3318		3709		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/542,45	1	EUVRARD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	YOGESH F	P. PATEL	3709			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cult of the second	MAILING DATE OF TH ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever mmunication. In statutory period will apply and will oply will, by statute, cause the appliers after the mailing date of this com-	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tim  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>20 October 2003</u>	<u>}</u> .				
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	<i>₃yle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 11-18 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to 8)  Claim(s) 11-18 are subject to rest	/are withdrawn from con					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any o Replacement drawing sheet(s) include 11) The oath or declaration is objected	re: a) accepted or b) [  pjection to the drawing(s) being the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some col None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/OPaper No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct: species I: Head of a handpiece as shown in figure 3. Species II: Head of a handpiece as shown figures in 4 and 5. The species are independent or distinct because each species require different structural limitation, which are mutually exclusive from each other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/542,451 Page 3

Art Unit: 3709

2. A telephone call was made to Gary M Cohen on August 15, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH P. PATEL whose telephone number is 5712703646. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday about 8 AM- 5 PM Eastern time.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,451

Art Unit: 3709

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Yao can be reached on 5712721224. The fax phone number for the

Page 4

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ΥP

Yogesh Patel Patent Examiner Art Unit 3709

> SAMCHUAN C. YAO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

mahr